

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 412/2002


PETITIONER

VERSUS

Narendra Kumar Parekh, aged 42 years,  
S/o Shri Parchilal Parekh, R/o- 228  
Parekh Mansion Gudiyan Raipur (C.G.)

RESPONDENTS

1. Umashankar Lal Shrivastava  
Aged 82 years.  
S/O. Nathu Lal Shrivastava
2. Smt. Padma Devi Shrivastava  
Aged 75 Years.  
W/O Shri Umashankar Shrivastava
3. Suresh Kumar Shrivastava  
Aged 53 years  
S/O. Shri Umashankar Shrivastava
4. Naresh Kumar Shrivastava  
aged 51 years.  
S/O. Umashankar Lal Shrivastava
5. Ramesh Kumar Shrivastava  
Aged 49 Years  
S/O Umashankar Shrivastava
6. Kumari Bharti Shrivastava  
Aged 46 Years  
D/O. Umashankar Lal Shrivastava
7. Dinesh Kumar Shrivastava  
Aged 43 years  
S/O. Umashankar Lal Shrivastava
8. Mahesh Kumar Shrivastava  
Aged 41 years  
S/O. Umashankar Lal Shrivastava  
All R/O. Main Road Pandri Tahsil  
and Distt. Raipur (C.G.)
9. State of Chhattisgarh  
Through : The Collector Raipur  
(C.G.)



P.R. No. 2419/14  
Presented by Shri. K. C. Chatterjee  
dated 30.1.02



COPIES

COPIES

COPIES

COPIES

COPIES

COPIES

COPIES

:: 02 ::

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

4

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

58

**SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.**

**WRIT PETITION NO.412 OF 2003**

**Petitioner** : Narendra Kumar Parekh

**Versus**

**Respondents** : Umashankar Lal Shrivastava and  
others

**Present** : Mr. Y.C.Sharma, counsel for the petitioner.  
Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the  
State/respondent No.9.  
None appears for other respondents.

**ORDER**

(Passed on 14<sup>th</sup> Day of February, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that hearing and arguments have been over in Civil Suit No.8-A/99 (*Narendra Kumar Parekh vs. Umashankar Lal Shrivastava and others*) pending before the District Judge, Raipur. It is pending for pronouncement of the judgment and order. In view of that, the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to raise the issue, if occasion arises, in the subsequent petition, that may be filed, if so advised.

2. It is ordered accordingly.

3. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the above-stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Sd/-  
Satish K. Agnihotri  
Judge